m

by B J

Submission date: 01-Aug-2021 12:12PM (UTC-1000)
Submission ID: 1626613306
File name: Health_effects_of_screen_time_on_children_Final_1.edited.docx (32.25K)
Word count: 5090
Character count: 29501

Health Effects of Screen Time on Children

Name

Institution

Course

Instructor

Date

Abstract

Whether on laptops, phones, tablets, or television sets, the screen symbolizes the contemporary era. Nonetheless, there are growing worries regarding the impact of screens on children's health. For example, obesity has been related to screen time, with hypothesized explanations including an increase in calorie intake, a change in the time allocated for physical activity, or, more realistically, a reduction in metabolic rate. The main objective is to figure out which physical systems are harmed by excessive screen time in youngsters and the financial implications of the problem. The qualitative method was used in this study. Consequently, case study research was critical since it provided insights into already-existing, relevant data. Furthermore, content analysis was performed in this study since there was so much information essential to analyze the data. The study's main finding was that extreme screen time in youngsters damaged the optical system, causing Myopia and night blindness in youthful children (Stiglic & Viner, 2019). Similarly, the major finding of the statistical study was that children's well-being was linked to their families' financial situation. Various socioeconomic statuses dictated the number of electronic gadgets accessible in homes, determined by income and education (Hankonen et al., 2017). To summarize, increased screen time was associated with various health issues in children and teenagers, with the strongest evidence for overweight, an imbalanced lifestyle, depressive symptoms, and low life expectancy. If the problem is not handled early enough, I've discovered that the most common and dangerous health impact of excessive screen time on youngsters is cortical thinning. As a result, the most likely remedy to this problem would be to reduce juveniles' screen time to the advised limits by specialists.

Introduction

Smartphones, iPads, and other internet-connected electronic devices are used by an entire generation of youngsters. As a result, many parents are concerned about the situation. It has, however, given scientists the chance to investigate how screen usage impacts children's brain development. Scholars from the National Institutes of Health looked into the solutions based on early Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) research (Radcliffe, 2018).

To comprehend the research being considered, one must first comprehend the physiological, anatomical, statistical significance, and cultural and ethical issues. When on-screen research material impacts the broader public, it is usually depicted openly: regulations imposing stringent time limits or news articles such as "Are Screens Harmful for Children?" However, due to a deficiency of strong systematic studies, the on-screen study period has been less than definitive. This fact is progressively transforming as psychologists, and other child development experts investigate the use of phones, tablets, and other devices by children and teenagers in increasing depth and complexity.

Therefore, this document will first go through some significant scientific and quantitative data on the health impacts of screen time on children gathered from the published studies and major websites over the previous four years. This research is important because children, particularly those under the age of three, develop fast. Exploring their environment and studying and mimicking the adults in their lives are two ways that they learn. Excessive screen time, on the contrary, can limit children's ability to see and appreciate the everyday activities in which they could grow and learn, leading to a tunnel vision that can affect their development and growth as well as other bodily systems (Morin, n.d.).

Similarly, it is also crucial to examine some fundamental cultural and ethical views about the health effects of screen time on children, as discussed in this paper, to stay current on the legislation and financial concerns that regulate this topic. It is important because Excess screen time has become a hot topic in recent generations, especially among children and teenagers. Consequently, parents ought to limit digital gadgets such as cellphones, tablets, and computers by their children. In addition, several programs can assist them in monitoring and managing their children's screen usage (Amer, 2019). This element is particularly consistent with the deontological ethical principles that apply to the situation. As a result, parents should maintain their responsibilities to their children in terms of screen time regulation since doing so has been deemed ethically correct.

Several studies have been conducted on the issue of the health effects of screen time on children. The initial research examines the body systems that are affected by excessive screen time in children. It will be illustrated that excessive screen time has substantial effects on developing a child's brain and effects on the optical system. Another study shows that socioeconomic status within households directly influences the amount of screen time children are exposed to. The statistical perspective of the document will reflect on the number of children exposed through this perspective. Similarly, the paper will examine the ethical theories and cultural aspects that apply to this issue.

Scientific Inquiry

The qualitative technique was utilized in this inquiry since the main objective was to define which body systems were affected by prolonged screen time in youngsters. As a result, case study research, such as Stiglic and Viner (2019), was critical since it gave insights into previously accessible data. Similarly, content analysis was used in data gathering for this

investigation because there is already a lot of material on the subject; consequently, there was a need to evaluate the data.

The nervous system is the first system that is impacted by children's excessive screen usage. Excessive exposure to digital environments, particularly during childhood, promotes vitamin D and melatonin insufficiency, interfering with the body's proper serotonin regulation. Reward dwellings linked to digital fixation, on the other hand, change the regulation of dopamine receptors in the brain. This finding reveals a complicated cause-and-effect chain that ties together a lot of time spent inside technological gadgets. Digital addiction, on the other hand, changes the regulation of dopamine receptors in the brain, resulting in general disruption of neurotransmission engaged in the cognitive function of juveniles' whole metabolic frequency, from eating manners and sleeping routines to total mental ability. Serotonin is a neurotransmitter that aids in the regulation of several physiological activities. Its synthesis is dependent on the presence of sufficient levels of vitamin D and melatonin—light impacts vitamin D and melatonin fusion, which is linked to melatonin release. Serotonin is a neurotransmitter that works as both an excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmitter and is present in nerve terminals and the brain. Serotonin synthesis requires a mixture of vitamin B6, zinc, magnesium, and vitamin C. In the pineal gland and retina, the N-acetyltransferase enzyme changes serotonin to N-acetyl serotonin. Five hydroxyindole O transferase enzymes convert it to melatonin, entering the circulation and cerebral fluid (Nightingale et al., 2017). Vitamin B6 in its active form is required for this activity. As a result, the serotonin neurotransmitter pathways are important for controlling the sleep-wake cycle and the entire body's immune response. This regulation is disrupted in the melatonin and serotonin insufficiency condition, often found in adult people.

This condition may be a predictor of early aging. Due to excessive screen time, its existence indicates that the body and mind are under substantial stress. Serotonin is a neurotransmitter that is important for decision-making. Antipsychotics, anxiolytics, and serotonergic antidepressants are widely used to treat neuropsychiatric disorders associated with poor decision-making. Excessive serotonin levels are associated with improved reversal schooling, enhanced attentional set switching, slight suspension discounting, and greater response inhibition. Excess screen period in youngsters also affects the visual system, resulting in early blindness and Myopia. The most common cause of juvenile Myopia is a lack of exposure to the sun.

Moreover, axial Myopia, a kind of rapid Myopia in youngsters triggered by augmented eye enlargement in the longitudinal bearing due to increased screen period, is the most familiar type of this disorder. If this disease is not treated, the illness will progress, resulting in substantial visual loss and finally blindness. Myopia is also connected to glaucoma, myopic retinal detachment, cataracts, and macular degeneration, among other eye disorders. As a result, once Myopia has occurred, treatment should be initiated as soon as possible to avoid the disease worsening to complete blindness.

Additionally, extreme internet use and screen period have recently been associated with a significantly higher BMI in children, implying a connection between digitalization and juvenile obesity. As a result, obesity causes a variety of physiological systems to malfunction. For example, the endocrine and respiratory systems and the cardiovascular and digestive systems impact the musculoskeletal and immunological systems. According to Stiglic and Viner's (2019) results, the severity of a teenager's obesity increases as the number of hours spent on a screen each day increases. Previously, ideas attempting to explain the link between screen time and

child obesity focused on the idea that excessive screen time reduces the amount of time spent being physically active, leading children to gain weight.

Neuroplasticity is the ability of the brain to modify its functions as a result of excessive screen time. Anything that engages the brain for three hours or more each day and is deemed extremely stimulating can rewire the brain's circuitry. It is known that an average individual spends far more than three hours each day in front of a screen. Fundamentally, the brain will create new neural connections to adjust to the changing cyber world. The brain connections that aren't used often enough are pruned. Therefore, it is correct to mention that the brain's structure and functions are harmed due to screen dependence. For example, too much screen time causes the brain to shrink in size. This phenomenon has an impact on the capacity to strategize, order, regulate impulses, and create compassion for others, as well as your ability to communicate from one lobe to the next, which completely slows down cognitive processes. For children, a 2017 research came to the same result. Spending time in front of a screen reduces brain connection, but reading a book has the opposite effect.

Epidemiologic research, on the other hand, reveals considerably more complicated causal connections. Experiments examining the influence of reduced screen time on measurable increases in physical activity, on the other hand, have shown no conclusive results. As a result, an absence of physical exercise is not a self-reliant cause of plumpness. More data supports augmented calorie intake as a significant contributory connection between display time and childhood stoutness. And conferring to an epidemiologic study, children who use more time on screens ingest fewer vegetables and more energy beverages. They also consume carbonated drinks or unhealthy food, resulting in a greater percentage of their vitality coming from fats and a greater overall energy intake. Likewise, chronic sensory stimulation from prolonged screen time

has been shown to have a detrimental impact on brain growth. Thus, excessive smartphone usage in children and teenagers may raise the risk of neurological, behavioral, and emotional problems, as well as the chance of rapidly progressive dementia in later life.

Mathematical Inquiry

This inquiry outlines the economic issues involved in this topic. The well-being of children and adolescents is connected to their socioeconomic position. Although they are highly connected, distinct socioeconomic status indicators, such as salary, education, and poverty, assess dissimilar, often correlated aspects (Hankonen et al., 2017). The surroundings in which children grow up vary in physical activity and sedentary behavior, liable on their socioeconomic status. Youngsters from low-income households have far more digital media devices in their rooms than children from higher-income families but far less portable play equipment. In addition, the rules for outdoor play are more restrictive in lower-income homes. Both household income and the family's greatest level of educational performance reveal these disparities. Children from low-income families spend hours watching television. However, there are no differences in overall or home-based modest physical doings or sleep length. According to prior research, there is an adversarial link between monetary and screen-based content utilization; hence, the differences in display time by status are not unusual. According to the data, about half of the youngsters from low-income families had a television in their bedrooms. A fourth of the youngsters had a video gaming system, which was significantly greater than children from high-income households. Intensive marketing of electronic entertainment devices, on the other hand, might contribute to the detrimental health effects of juveniles' screen period. Additionally, the higher family economic position may be connected to a better awareness of and capacity to put display time recommendations into practice. According to evidence, many edges intended to

advance population well-being may exacerbate inequities because socioeconomic status impacts how effectively people can implement preventative measures and care knowledge.

Krist et al. (2017) argue that there is a link between children's screen period and the highest family education level, with the lower-income families having greater screen period. These findings show that screen-viewing habits and the period spent on them differ depending on a family's educational level, which translates to their economic position. Furthermore, these disparities are connected to family education rather than poverty at the neighborhood level. Therefore, long-term socioeconomic variables like parental engagement can connect and speak with institutions, and incomes are more important than temporary economic ones like local reserve accessibility. Similarly, having digital gadgets such as television sets, cellphones, and electronic game consoles has been linked to greater display time and conversely to economic considerations in the past. According to research, the number of gadgets in a home varies depending on the household's educational level, with extra tablets, televisions, and video game systems in families with GCSE and A level credentials and more PCs in homes with higher degrees or education.

Furthermore, much research has shown an inverse relationship between socioeconomic status and inactive time and screen watching, with regular screen periods for kids alternating from 2 to 3 hours daily for children from high and low economic qualifications, respectively. Youngsters become increasingly inactive as they get older, but because most of the data is incomplete, it's uncertain if different socioeconomic groups change at nearly the same rate (Mougharbel & Goldfield, 2020). Even though television viewing grows in lockstep across educational categories, data shows that between the ages of 2 and 9, there may be different patterns in family-wage levels.

On the contrary, prolonged screen time's effects on neurodevelopment may have long-term consequences for individuals who grow older. Learners in classrooms where digital devices were forbidden, for example, performed considerably better on the unit and last examinations, according to researchers studying digital device use in post-secondary learning. Scholars in lecture rooms where digital devices are permitted, even if they did not use them, tend to score worse owing to general classroom distractions. Distractions that reduce the use of memorization techniques to aid memory retention are linked to poor long-term memory. The prevalence of screen use in young children may have serious implications on the brain development. Cognitive abilities progress to encourage the tasks required to flourish in social and environmental conditions, implying that the perpetuation of screen use in young people may have major consequences on intellectual function. Children who spend a lot of time in front of the screen may be at a higher risk of developing deteriorating symptoms due to sensory hyperventilation during brain development. Youngsters may possess grounds for apprehension in later maturity, given the ubiquity of electronic media consumption and its negative repercussions. Compared to earlier generations, the long-term effects on education, recollection, and communication in teenagers of the current period may result in early signs of cerebral deterioration.

Cultural Inquiry

This inquiry explores the cultural norms and values influencing screen time on children. Cultural experiences help children discover who themselves and what they want to be. Their cognitive, intellectual, social, and intellectual development are all influenced by the cultural factors that they are exposed to from birth, such as food customs and principles, artistic expression, language, belief, and, in this case, display time. When juveniles' self-identity collides with the communal setting due to cultural variations, learning might be hindered. On the

contrary, culturally suitable instructors help kids from all upbringings learn by displaying an appreciation and understanding of other values and adding to each youngster's specific worth. This occurrence is not always the case, though. Children exposed to electronic gadgets at a young age, for example, acquire the practice of spending a majority of their period on screens and become habituated. Likewise, families that promote excess screen period and accept it as the standard risk subjecting their kids to health risks. Early childhood is a crucial period of passionate and psychological growth, and what teenagers view and practice during this period can substantially impact their future (Browne et al., 2019).

In the 19th century, the development of the telegraph ushered in a new era of communication and pleasure. Whether in smartphones, televisions, computers, or movie theatres, Screens are now an important part of daily life. Even though electronics have become an indisputable part of daily life, teenagers are inescapably exposed to digital technology earlier in life and for longer periods, with youngsters in wealthier households with an internet enabled device spending more than 2 hours per day in front of screens. This duration exceeds the pediatric recommendation of less than one hour per day spent in front of a screen. However, considering the current technological transformation, children's screen time has been endorsed as a cultural norm because parents occasionally employ electronic devices since children are more responsive to electronic content.

Furthermore, certain cultural norms think that it is proper to provide a kid whatever they desire, which impacts this problem. As a result, when parents encourage their children to watch movies, television shows, computer games, or other forms of online entertainment, all of these activities influence their development. According to a study, kid's exposure to violent media may lead to belligerent attitudes and behavior; access to advertising campaigns for unhealthy diets

can contribute to childhood stoutness; and far too much display period can stunt the mental development of instructors published by the American Psychological Association (APA). Based on the Cognitive Impacts of Digital Media Workgroup's research, children learn from TV shows about 2.5. After six years, children, on the other hand, prefer to watch more entertainment programs, which may negatively influence their behavior.

Moreover, while video games may assist in developing children's visual processing ability, they may also lead to aggressive conduct. Game-specific effects on cognitive skills and behavior are common. Nonetheless, because all of these behaviors are socially acceptable, there is no effort to restrict them, implying that the problem persists.

The connection to cultural background is evident. Different civilizations have differing attitudes about TV sets and other types of entertaining media and different monetary resources to buy such content. For instance, instead of watching educational content after school, a child from a socialist culture can be encouraged to assist elderly or newborn family members. The ability of these youngsters to profit from such experiences is indirectly influenced by culture. Furthermore, youngsters whose culture forbids them from watching instructional television or other forms of media may be ridiculed by their classmates for missing out on trendy interests. Another way that popular culture media might influence child development is through the presentation and reinforcement of cultural stereotypes. According to Tang et al. (2018), a movie might, for instance, represent females or minorities in a negative light or differently. A comedy might include just white individuals, with no other races or societies represented. Children's self-esteem may be harmed by an absence of positive role models in the current media and the availability of negative observations. Consequently, media may have a negative cultural influence on children's development.

Lastly, family cohesion, connection, and respect are all factors that affect family decision-making, including screen time habits. For instance, many Latino families prioritize family cohesion, connection, and respect. Still, most screen time interventions do not incorporate measures to establish and enhance these values through leisure-time physical activities. Therefore, these findings lay the groundwork for more research and possible intervention techniques for screen time reduction, particularly in the social and cultural aspects.

Ethical Inquiry

There are ethical theories that apply to the health effects of screen time on children. Excess screen time has been a controversial topic in recent decades, especially among children and teenagers. Parents should limit their children's access to digital gadgets like cell phones, tablets, and computers. Several applications can help parents keep track of and control their juveniles' screen usage (Amer, 2019). This element is consistent with the deontological ethical models that apply to this situation. As a result, parents should maintain their responsibilities to their children in terms of screen time regulation since doing so is deemed ethically correct. Fears of addiction, sadness, and other health concerns, on the other hand, are among the arguments for not using too much of their time on screens.

Nonetheless, there is a rising awareness of the negative effects of excess screen period and constant connection on social and cognitive abilities. Furthermore, it is believed that requiring individuals to utilize internet technology makes them forgetful, constantly distracted, and disinterested in what is happening in the real world. The second point of contention derives from the idea that people, especially children and teens, cannot control their impulsive behavior when it comes to digital technology. This unwillingness of children to resist their smartphones

has been portrayed as a modern moral panic in the media, even though it may have detrimental repercussions, which is why parents should limit their kid's screen period.

Equally, the practical ethical theory applies to the issue because parents ought to anticipate the negative consequences of excess display time dependence. Moral panics are prevalent whenever new digital media technology is introduced, and users display undesired conduct like indifference or viciousness. As new media channels become more widely used meanwhile, concerns about their use, instead of specific content, are now becoming increasingly common. Bond (2020) characterizes display time as a uniformity of digital deeds that disregards different performances or forms of engagement, favoring the period spent online. Certainly, evidence from short-term, quantifiable research that does not include youngsters' wider living situations predominates in articles about screen use.

Additionally, as with previous moral panics, they tend to focus on the dangers of digital media activities rather than the possibilities. Furthermore, more qualitative studies of teenagers and media consumption have filled this gap by emphasizing the distinctions among various media customs and use and how various cultural and socioeconomic factors impact as well as parents' reaction to screen period recommendations. As a result, in this scenario, parents are responsible for making decisions that benefit their children and themselves, which is regarded ethically right.

This problem is especially relevant in the current discussions over children's use of digital technology. Digital media is the primary means through which youngsters play, communicate, receive, create, share, and express themselves; consequently, the consequences should be positive. Young people use the internet to learn about their rights, discover their identities, acquire health information and services, report mistreatment or violations, portray

their opinions, and relate politically and culturally with administrative entities and their surroundings. Technology has evolved into a powerful instrument for young people to conquer prejudice and discrimination, participate in significant decision-making procedures, and exercise their civil liberties in their own best well-being. A rights-based approach to children's digital technology use is essential because it highlights the importance of incorporating perspectives, which means that all juveniles' rights must be considered, meaning that the right to be sheltered from harm cannot infringe on the rights to the involvement, anonymity, play, studying, data liberty, or embodiment. It also emphasizes the need to check in youngsters whenever making pronouncements on how to use digital knowledge. Article 12 of the UNCRC states that children have the right to be heard in circumstances that concern them and that their opinions must be taken into account properly based on their age and maturity. Finally, it acknowledges the duty to ensure that the well-being of children is a top priority in all activities involving children: The UNCRC urges all parties to ensure that the best interests of children and youth are at the forefront of these talks. Guidance and policy must take into consideration children's viewpoints and experiences to perform this successfully. As a result, this policy explains how the ethical theory of rights applies to the situation.

In addition, one ethical concern that is most prevalent in this issue is the fact that even though most parents believe it is reasonable to limit children's screen time to less than 2 hours per day, few people follow this advice, and adults who use fewer screens are more likely to reduce their children's usage of screens. Similarly, adult screen use may need to be addressed as part of strategies to minimize children's screen time because it would be unethical for parents to limit children's screen time when they spend the most time on screens. Therefore, adults who spend not more than 2 hours daily on leisure-related display periods leave substantially less time

for children to watch TV and use computers. Thus, effective screen time reduction initiatives may include adopting screen time regulations and limitations and adult modeling of decreased screen use.

Conclusion

Research has indicated that for youngsters and families, excessive screen time is a precarious deception. Sedentary behavior can lead to obesity, hypertension, and other health problems. Furthermore, screen usage may detract from sleeping, reading, completing homework, or participating in active play by youngsters (Holenko, n.d.), even though most parents feel that limiting screen time is a good idea. I believe that making things happen, on the other hand, is the hardest part. As a result, I think that the most likely solution to this problem is to restrict children's screen time to the recommended levels by experts. As a result of technology developments, I feel that parents these days are the first to figure out the best ways to restrict their children's screen time. Whereas electronic devices may provide hours of educational material and entertainment, a prolonged screen period can be detrimental. Similarly, the American Academy of Pediatrics recommends that parents set a rational limit on television and recreation for their children (Mayo Clinic Health Clinic, 2021).

Therefore, in addition, I feel like to accomplish screen time regulations among children, caregivers can establish home rules such as prohibiting the use of electronic devices during family gatherings, limiting the use of electronic gadgets during fun family evenings, prohibiting screen time in automobiles, and eliminating screens from kid's rooms. On the contrary, whenever possible, if kids are going to have time on screen, the greatest thing parents can do is sit with them and view the program or game with them so that they can grasp whatever they're watching. Comment on what you see, ask questions about what's going on and sing together with your

child if someone on the show is performing a song. Most importantly, engage them in conversation and repeat topics after the performance to ensure they remember the educative material.

Likewise, parents should encourage the big three; exercise, sleep, and a healthy diet. For both adults and children, all three are necessary for the healthy development of the brain and growth and well-being. However, prolonged screen time might have a negative influence on all three. For example, children who spend more time in front of computers eat more fast food, eat fewer vegetables and fruit, and get less sleep and exercise. As a result, including healthy lifestyle choices into everyday routines and restricting screen time is critical.

It is also important for parents to limit their phone use since children will imitate what they observe their parents do. Because children's parents are among the most significant individuals in their lives while they are young, they will mimic any conduct they observe. If they see them sitting in front of a screen all day, they will think it is normal and want to do the same every day (Rubin, 2020). In addition, parents should use screens in their cars only for lengthy trips and consider establishing a curfew or a period when the entire family turns off all displays. It's critical to strike a balance between online and offline time. Since digital media cannot be dismissed in this technological error, parents should source for evaluations of age-appropriate applications, video games, and programs from groups such as Common Sense Media to assist them in making the appropriate choices for their children.

Starting to limit your children's screen time might be challenging. It is, nevertheless, worthwhile to put out the effort. Therefore, parents may reduce screen time and its negative consequences by establishing new home rules and gradually altering their children's routines.

References

Amer, A. B. (2019). *Understanding the Ethical Theories in Medical Practice*. Open Journal of Nursing, 9(02), 188.

Bond, R. (2020). *Social Network Determinants of Screen Time among Adolescents*. The Social Science Journal, 1-16.

Browne, D., Racine, N., & Madigan, S. (2019). *Challenging The Association Between Screen Time And Cognitive Development—Reply*. JAMA Pediatrics, 173(9), 891-891.

Hankonen, N., Heino, M. T., Kujala, E., Hynynen, S. T., Absetz, P., Araújo-Soares, V., ... & Haukkala, A. (2017). *What Explains The Socioeconomic Status Gap In Activity? Educational Differences in Determinants of Physical Activity and Screentime*. BMC Public Health, 17(1), 1-15.

Holenko, C. (n.d.). *Set Limits on Kid's Screen Time*. Verywell Family. https://www.verywellfamily.com/set-limits-on-kids-screen-time-1256983

Krist, L., Bürger, C., Ströbele-Benschop, N., Roll, S., Lotz, F., Rieckmann, N., ... & Müller-Riemenschneider, F. (2017). *Association of Individual and Neighbourhood Socioeconomic Status with Physical Activity and Screen Time in Seventh-Grade Boys and Girls in Berlin, Germany: A Cross-Sectional Study*. BMJ Open, 7(12), E017974.

Mayo Clinic Health Clinic. (2021, May 28). *Children and Screen Time: How Much is too much?* Retrieved from https://www.mayoclinichealthsystem.org/hometown-health/speaking-of-health/children-and-screen-time

Morin, A. (n.d.). *The Harmful Effects of Too Much Screen Time for Kids*. Verywell Family. https://www.verywellfamily.com/the-negative-effects-of-too-much-screen-time-1094877

Mougharbel, F., & Goldfield, G. S. (2020). *Psychological Correlates of Sedentary Screen Time Behaviour among Children and Adolescents: A Narrative Review*. Current Obesity Reports, 1-19.

Nightingale, C. M., Rudnicka, A. R., Donin, A. S., Sattar, N., Cook, D. G., Whincup, P. H., & Owen, C. G. (2017). *Screen Time Is Associated With Adiposity And Insulin Resistance In Children*. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 102(7), 612-616.

Radcliffe, S. (2018, December 19). *Is Screen Time Altering The Brains of Children?* Healthline. https://www.healthline.com/health-news/how-does-screen-time-affect-kids-brains#Questions-about-screen-timeremain

Rubin, R. (2020). *Too Much Screen Time for Young Children, Experts Say*. Jama, 323(4), 300-300.

Stiglic, N., & Viner, R. M. (2019). *Effects of Screentime on the Health and Well-Being of Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review of Reviews*. BMJ Open, 9(1), E023191.

Tang, L., Darlington, G., Ma, D. W., & Haines, J. (2018*). Mothers' And Fathers' Media Parenting Practices Associated with Young Children's Screen-Time: A Cross-Sectional Study*. BMC Obesity, 5(1), 1-10.

m

ORIGINALITY REPORT

1%	0%	0%	0%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Submitted to West Coast University Student Paper	<1%
2	www.verywellfamily.com Internet Source	<1%
3	www.blockbluelight.com.au Internet Source	<1%

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		